Preferential binding odorantolfactory receptors as a predictor of OR excitation or inhibition

Chiquito Crasto Department of Genetics UAB

September 15, 2011

UAB Research Computing Day

Overview of the olfactory system



http://www.sfn.org/index.cfm?pagename=brainbriefings_smellandtheolfactorysystem

Role of Olfactory Receptors in Odor Detection



Malnic et al. (1999). Combinatorial Receptor Code for Odors. Cell, 5:713-723

Olfactory Receptors--Structurally



- Rhodopsin-like class A GPCRs (GTP-binding Protein Coupled Receptors)
- 7 transmembrane helical domains
- Extra-cellular N-terminus
- Intra-cellular C-terminus
- 6 interhelical loops
 - 3 extra-cellular
 - 3 cytoplasmic

To**pide₩i**eWiew

Computational Modeling of Olfactory Receptors

- Model-Building: Secondary structure Prediction
 - Secondary structure prediction to identify transmembrane helices
 - Hidden Markov Models to identify TM helices
 - TMHMM, HMMTOP and several other programs
- Homology Modeling
 - Positioning the target protein sequence over a template and letting the structure resolve based on the template structure

Homology Modeling

- Three possible templates are currently available
 - Bovine rhodopsin (PDB ID: 1u19)
 - Beta-adrenergic receptor (PDB ID: 2r4r, 2r4s & 2rh1)
 - Adenosine A2A receptor (PDB ID: 3qak, 2ydo & 2ydv)

Issues with using Rhodopsin as a template

- The sequence identity between ORs and rhodopsin is 40% or less
- The target-template matches have to take place based on structure not sequence
- Helices in rhodopsin are longer than predicted OR helices
- Loops in rhodopsin are shorter than OR loops
- There are structure specific features for rhodopsin that need not arise in ORs

Rhodopsin (PDB Id: 1u19)



Kink present in TM 7

Making the interior of the receptor biochemically (hydrophobically) feasible

- The protein is surrounded by the lipid bi-layer of the cell membrane
- The interior of the protein tends to be hydrophilic
- Each helix then has to be repositioned such that its effective hydrophobicity is pointed in the "correct" direction—this step is post homology modeling
- The following equation is used to determine the effective hydrophobicity

$$\Theta_{\theta} = \sum_{i=0}^{360-\theta} \mu_{\theta} . \cos i$$

Hydrophobicity profiles in G protein-coupled receptor transmembrane. helical domains. Crasto C. Journal of Receptor, Ligand and Channel Research, 2010. 3:123-133.

Effective hydrophobicity

 $\Theta_{\theta} = \sum_{i=0}^{360-\theta} \mu_{\theta} . \cos i$



http://www.site.uottawa.ca/~turcotte/resources/HelixWheel/

Completing the OR model and introduction to docking

- Loops are then added back to join the helices
- The energy of the entire structure is minimized
- Ligands that are known to activate a receptor (for ORs, these would be odorant molecules)—are then docked into the binding region of the receptor. This is static docking

Simulation Studies of Interactions between Olfactory Receptors and Odorant Molecules—tracing the path of an odor in a receptor

There are disadvantages to static docking

- Static docking provides a single snapshot of what occurs within a protein's binding region
- Protein-ligand interactions, and any other processes that follow from it, are always dynamic
- These Interactions are also not restricted to one amino acid residue in the protein and the ligand
- Different interactions might occur at different times during a ligand's tenure in the proteins binding pocket, following docking

Chem. Senses 30: 781-792, 2005

doi:10.1093/chemse/bji070 Advance Access publication October 21, 2005

Structural Activation Pathways from Dynamic Olfactory Receptor–Odorant Interactions

Peter C. Lai¹, Michael S. Singer² and Chiquito J. Crasto³

Combinatorial Receptor Codes for Odors

Bettina Malnic,* Junzo Hirono,† Takaaki Sato,†‡ and Linda B. Buck*‡ *Howard Hughes Medical Institute Department of Neurobiology Harvard Medical School Boston, Massachusetts 02115 †Life Electronics Research Center Electrotechnical Laboratory Amagasaki 661 Japan

- One of the first papers on functional analysis of olfactory receptors
- 14 mouse olfactory receptors were analyzed to test responses to 22 odorants (organic compounds) with different lengths and functional groups and for different concentrations

Role of Olfactory Receptors in Odor Detection



Malnic et al. (1999). Combinatorial Receptor Code for Odors. Cell, 5:713-723



Figure 6. The Recognition Profiles of 14 Olfactory Neurons and the ORs They Expressed Test odorants are shown on the left, and the ORs identified in the responsive neurons are shown on top. Filled circles indicate responses to 100 μ M odorants, with smaller circles indicating a relatively weak response (less than half the change in fluorescence intensity elicited by KCI). Responses that were also obtained at 1 or 10 μ M odorant are indicated by a 1 or 10 inside the filled circle. a, not tested; b, tested at 10 μ M, but not 100 μ M; c, not tested at 10 μ M or 1 μ M; d, not tested at 1 μ M.

S79 (octanoic acid, heptanoic acid, nonanedioic acid, heptanol)

S86 (nonanoic acid, heptanoic acid)

Malnic et al. (1999). Combinatorial Receptor Code for Odors. Cell, 5:713-723

Raw file, post-docking



GRAMM was used to dock the odorant ligands. (<u>http://vakser.bioinformatics.ku.edu/main/resources_gramm.php</u>) (Center for Bioinformatics, University of Kansas)

High Performance Computing Critical to Creating a properly simulated biological system

- Olfactory receptor protein bound to a ligand
- The 7 transmembrane domains of the receptor can be seen embedded into a lipid bilayer consisting of 230 palmitoyloleoylphosphatidylcholine (POPC) molecules and close to 22,000 explicit 3-site water molecules.
- There are a more than 100,000 atoms being simulated in this system.

Ligand

Lipid bilayer representing the plasma membrane Lipid bilayer representing the plasma membrane

Water

Water

Olfactory receptor Protein

S79



S86



Odorant that does not activate



heptanoic acid

Study of the Interior of the binding region



We can simulate an odor ligand "visiting" different binding sites.

- This clip demonstrates an all-atom molecular dynamics simulation of a previously modeled human olfactory receptor, hOR17-209 docked with its activating ligand, isoamyl acetate.
- This clip shows the first 5 ns of simulation time in order to highlight ligand binding pocket sampling, the entire simulation lasted 10 ns.
- The simulation was carried out on the UAB HPC cluster using Gromacs 4.5.4 and CHARMM (Chemistry at HARvard Molecular Mechanics) all-atom force field
- Using 232 of the "gen3" compute nodes, the entire singleprecision simulation took 8 and half hours to complete, having used 471 GFlops of computing power.

Movie of simulation

<u>http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=z8UPI_wP</u>
 <u>8K8</u>

Acknowledgements

- Peter C. Lai (School of Engineering, UAB)
- Brandon Guida (Arizona State University)
- Jing Shi (School of Public Health, UAB)
- Lorra Hyland (School of Nursing, UAB)
- Bharat Soni (School of Engineering, UAB)
- Michael Hanby (School of Engineering, UAB)
- Funding
 - NIH R21DC011068-01, NIH R21AT004661-02S1, NIH
 5UL1RR025777-03, NIHP30HD03985.

Thank you